**\*\*OFFICIAL\*\***

FAY, SHARPE, FAGAN, MINNICH & MCKEE, LLP  
1100 SUPERIOR AVENUE, SUITE 700  
CLEVELAND, OHIO 44114-2518  
(216) 861-5582

**FAX RECEIVED**

DEC 10 2002

DATE: December 10, 2002 2831  
TO: Assistant Commissioner for Patents  
ATTENTION: EXAMINER JINHEE J. LEE  
FACSIMILE NO.: 1-703-872-9318  
FROM: Mark S. Svat, Reg. No. 34,261  
RE: Serial No. 09/725,836; Our Ref. XERZ 2 00368  
REQUEST FOR TELEPHONIC INTERVIEW (AND ATTACHED  
"PROPOSED SECOND AMENDMENT AFTER FINAL"

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

Total number of pages (including this cover sheet): 12

Please call us immediately at (216) 861-5582 if the telecopy you receive is incomplete or illegible. Our facsimile numbers are (216) 241-1666 and (216) 241-5147.

Comments:

Dear Examiner Lee:

In accordance with our telephone discussion of December 6, 2002, Applicants' submit herewith a Request for Telephonic Interview, and the attached "Proposed" Second Amendment After Final for your review.

Respectfully,

Mark S. Svat

The documents accompanying this facsimile transmission include information from the firm of Fay, Sharpe, Fagan, Minnich & McKee, LLP that might be legally privileged and/or confidential. The information is intended for the use of only the individual or entity named on this cover sheet. If you are not the intended recipient, any disclosure, copying, or distribution of these documents, or the taking of any action based on the contents of this transmission, is prohibited. If you have received this transmission in error, these documents should be returned to this firm as soon as possible, and we ask that you notify us immediately by telephone so we can arrange for their return to us without cost to you.

**CERTIFICATE OF FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION**

I hereby certify that this REQUEST FOR TELEPHONIC INTERVIEW for Serial No. 09/725,836 is being forwarded via facsimile transmission to the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office facsimile number (703) 872-9318 on December 10, 2002

By   
Karen M. Forsyth

PATENT

#10 / It is re:  
Interview  
P. EVANS  
12-12-02

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

IN RE APPLICATION OF : Michel A. Rosa and Decai Sun  
TITLE : MICRO-DEVICE ASSEMBLY WITH ELECTRICAL CAPABILITIES  
SERIAL NO. : 09/725,836  
FILED : November 29, 2000  
EXAMINER : LEE, Jinhee J.  
ART UNIT : 2831  
LAST OFFICE ACTION : September 4, 2002  
ADVISORY ACTION : November 13, 2002  
ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. : D/A0597  
XERZ 2 00368

**FAX RECEIVED**

DEC 10 2002

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

Assistant Commissioner for Patents  
Washington, DC 20231

ATTENTION: Examiner Jinhee J. LEE  
Facsimile No.: (703) 305-1341

**REQUEST FOR TELEPHONIC INTERVIEW**

Dear Sir:

Applicants' representative requests a telephonic interview in connection with the above application. In the telephone interview, Applicant wishes to address the interpretation and application of a Dhuler et al. reference used to reject claims 1-5 and 16 of the present application.

In response to Applicants' Amendment After Final mailed October 31, 2002, an Advisory Action issued November 13, 2002.

2

It is noted this Advisory Action carried a typographical error that the period to reply expired one month from the date of mailing of the final rejection. Therefore, the date to respond to this action with a one-month extension of time is January 4, 2003.

In the "Note" section of the Advisory Action, the new issue of "conductor material" and a change in dependency of claim 16 were stated as requiring further consideration, and therefore the Amendment was not entered.

In a telephone conversation on December 6, 2002, Applicants' representative noted the issue related to "conductor material" was simply to address § 112 issues raised by the Examiner and to provide a uniform use of language within the claims.

Applicants therefore have requested an interview to discuss these matters.

Applicants also noted that, while they do not believe the changes require undue additional search and consideration and would like to discuss this issue, the request for interview is primarily to discuss the cited Dhuler et al. reference and its applicability.

To assist in this review, Applicants have provided the attached proposed Second Amendment After Final for review. In this proposed Second Amendment After Final, Applicants have cancelled claim 16, which the Examiner raised issues related to the dependency change.

In view of this further amendment, this proposed submission narrows the issues presented for discussion. Applicants request the Examiner contact Applicants' representative below for the setting up of a telephonic interview.


**FAX RECEIVED**

Respectfully submitted,

DEC 10 2002

FAY, SHARPE, FAGAN,  
MINNICH & McKEE, LLP

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800



Mark S. Svat  
Reg. No. 34,261  
1100 Superior Avenue, 7th Floor  
Cleveland, Ohio 44114-2518  
(216) 861-5582

Attachment: Proposed Second Amendment After Final

N:\XERZ\200368\US\KMF1681A.wpd